

Berry, Wayne 5/18/2005

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

4 WAYNE BERRY, a Hawaii ) CIVIL NO. CV03-00385 SOM LEK  
citizen; ) (Copyright)  
5 )  
Plaintiff, )  
6 )  
 )  
7 vs. )  
 ) Volume 1  
8 HAWAIIAN EXPRESS SERVICE,)  
INC., a California )  
9 corporation; et al., )  
 )  
10 Defendants. )  
 )

13

13 DEPOSITION OF WAYNE BERRY,  
14 taken on behalf of the Defendant, Post-Confirmation  
15 Trust, at the Law Offices of Kobayashi, Sugita & Goda,  
16 999 Bishop Street, Suite 2600, Honolulu, Hawaii,  
17 96813, commencing at 8:59 a.m., on Wednesday, May 18,  
18 2005, pursuant to Notice.

19

30

BEFORE: Julie A. Peterson, CSR #361, CRR, RMR  
21 Registered Professional Reporter  
Notary Public, State of Hawaii

22

53

Ali'i Court Reporting  
2355 Ala Wai Blvd., Suite 300  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96815  
(808) 926-1719

**EXHIBIT "A"**



Berry, Wayne 5/18/2005

1 Did API file a claim in the Fleming  
2 bankruptcy for that receivable?

3 MR. LIEBELER: It doesn't happen often, Tim.

4 MR. HOGAN: I'm gonna mark that one down.

5 A I filed a claim for API, yes.

6 Q (By Mr. Liebeler): You've correctly  
7 anticipated my next question.

8 Was it you that filed the claim on behalf  
9 of API?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And what's the face amount of that claim?

12 MR. HOGAN: Objection as to "the face amount."

13 Vague and ambiguous.

14 A Do you mean the dollar amount on the claim form  
15 itself?

16 Q (By Mr. Liebeler): That's what face amount of  
17 the claim means, sir, yes.

18 A I don't recall the exact amount. It was  
19 something just shy of \$800,000.

20 Q Do you have in your possession any documents to  
21 support that claim?

22 MR. HOGAN: Objection as to in his possession.

23 Vague and ambiguous.

24 A Not with me.

25 Q (By Mr.. Liebeler): Do you have them within

Berry, Wayne 5/18/2005

1 your possession, custody or control? Do you have  
2 access to them, sir?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Where are they located?

5 MR. HOGAN: Objection, vague as to the term  
6 "they." Vague and ambiguous.

7 Also I'm going to make an objection as to  
8 the conducting of PCT discovery in this case by PCT's  
9 appointed counsel in violation of the Delaware local  
10 rules that makes it inapplicable for such testimony to  
11 be taken while nonparties to the proceedings are  
12 present. Specifically C&S and the other parties in  
13 this room are represented, and it violates the  
14 Delaware local rule.

15 Q (By Mr. Liebeler): Go ahead.

16 A I'd have to look, but I believe there's  
17 probably one set of backups for that claim with the  
18 United States Postal investigators, since it was a  
19 mail fraud, and I probably have other copies. I'd  
20 have to look for copies that I kept.

21 Q Where physically are the copies that you kept?  
[REDACTED]

22 Are they in your residence, a storage shed or  
[REDACTED]  
23 someplace? I'm not asking a specific address. I'm  
[REDACTED]  
24 asking the conceptual location.  
[REDACTED]

25 MR. HOGAN: I'm going to object on the grounds

Berry, Wayne 5/18/2005

1 of relevance again. I don't see this has anything to  
2 do with the pending litigation, and I think it's time  
3 that we contact the master and ask him if he can take  
4 some time off today and attend.

5 And I'll further state for the record that  
6 the purpose of this is for Mr. Liebeler and his firm  
7 to find out where evidence of a crime committed by his  
8 client is so they can destroy the evidence. That's  
9 why it's being asked. That's why it's objectionable.

10 MR. LIEBELER: You're absurd, Mr. Hogan,  
11 absolutely absurd.

12 MR. HOGAN: Perhaps you can make some  
13 foundation for your request, then, Mr. Liebeler.

14 MR. LIEBELER: The foundation for my request is  
15 Mr. Berry is suing Fleming in litigation in various  
16 places. That's going to come in at trial in this  
17 case. He has a vendetta against my client. The jury  
18 is perfectly entitled to hear that.

19 MR. HOGAN: As to the location of his records  
20 is irrelevant to that. And, again, I renew my  
21 statement --

22 MR. LIEBELER: Because I'm going to be  
23 permitted to find those records for purposes of  
24 assessing the merits of that litigation for purposes  
25 of showing it's meritless here.

STATE OF HAWAII )  
 ) ss.  
 CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU )

I, Julie A. Peterson, Notary Public, State of Hawaii, do hereby certify:

That on May 18, 2005, commencing at 8:59 a.m., appeared before me Wayne Berry, the witness whose deposition is contained herein; that prior to being examined he was by me duly sworn; that the deposition was taken in machine shorthand by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

That the deponent was notified through counsel, by mail or by telephone, to appear and sign; that if the transcript is filed without signature, deponent has failed to appear and the transcript is therefore kept on file without signature pursuant to Court rules.

I further certify that I am not attorney for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in the caption.

Dated at Honolulu, Hawaii, this 22nd day of May, 2005.

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF HAWAII  
My Commission Expires: 9/1/2006

